

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,081	03/12/2004	Saburou Abe	3216/1 8512	
23638 ADAMS EVAN	7590 11/09/2007 JS P A		EXAMINER	
Suite 2350 Charlotte Plaza			HARDEE, JOHN R	
201 South Colle CHARLOTTE,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Christoffiz,	110 20211		1796	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		11/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	10/799,081	ABE ET AL.			
		ADE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John R. Hardee	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com () (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 25-28 and 30-40 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-40 is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 25-28 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a composed and accomposed are considered. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number:

10/799,081 Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's traversal of the restriction requirement is moot, as the requirement has already been made final.

2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 25-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eaton et al., US 6,818,146 for the reason of record in the previous office action.
- 5. Claims 25-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/061044 A2 in view of Eaton et al., US 6,818,146. The WO is in German. Reference is made to column and line in Wulff et al., US 2004/0253490 A1. Wulff discloses deionized water coolants for fuel stacks which may further comprise ethylene glycol [0006]. Conductivities of less than 1 microS/cm can be obtained using the system [0039]. Addition of anticorrosion agents is disclosed at [0033]. Use of dyes and antifoaming additives is not disclosed. Eaton discloses cooling media for fuel cells (col. 1, lines 10+). The coolant comprises propylene diol and water. The addition of antifoaming compositions is disclosed at col. 3, along with a teaching that these are well known. The examiner takes the position that since these compositions are being compared with ethylene glycol/water compositions, that use of same in glycol/water compositions would be well known as well. In addition, dyes may be added (see

Application/Control Number:

10/799,081 Art Unit: 1796

claims). It would have been obvious to add antifoamers for the reasons given above, and to add dyes to obtain the advantages obtained by Eaton in the use of same. It would be further obvious to use a nonionic dye, because Wulff discloses a composition which undergoes continuous deionization, which would otherwise remove all dye from the compositions. Regarding the specifically recited dyes, the examiner takes the position that these are well known, being commercially available and having trivial names, so use of any particular dye would be obvious in the absence of the disclosure of any unexpected properties.

6.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed October 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the Yang and Wulff references, applicant is correct; they are not prior art and the rejections have been withdrawn. The PCT parent of Wulff is prior art however, and a rejection over the PCT in view of Eaton has been added.

 Applicant's newly added limitation reciting colorfastness does not overcome the prior art, as use of a nonionic dye is motivated by the references.
- 8. This action contains a rejection which was not motivated by applicant's amendments. Accordingly, it is NOT FINAL.

9.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

10/799,081 Art Unit: 1796

Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Mr. Harold Pyon, may be reached at (571) 272-1498.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John R. Hardee Primary Examiner November 5, 2007